

Meeting of the Council of the London Borough of Barnet

TO BE HELD ON

TUESDAY, 24 JANUARY 2012 AT 7.00PM

VENUE

HENDON TOWN HALL, THE BURROUGHS, HENDON, NW4 4BG

AGENDA



CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DIRECTORATE

To view agenda papers go to: http://committeepapers.barnet.gov.uk/democracy/

Agenda and Timetable Tuesday 24 January 2012

Item	Subject	Timing/Details	Page Nos.
Part 1	Statutory formalities / Announcements (15 minutes)	7.00pm – 7.15pm	-
1.1	Prayer – the Mayor's Chaplain	Father Damian Konstantinou	-
1.2	Apologies for absence	To receive Members' apologies for absence	-
1.3	Minutes of meetings held on 1 November 2011	To sign as a true record	1 - 26
1.4	Declarations of interest	To receive Members' declarations of interest	-
	Official Announcements:		
1.5	The Mayor will ask Council to agree to the sending of loyal greetings to her Majesty the Queen on the occasion of her Diamond Jubilee		-
1.6	Any business remaining from last meeting		-
Part 2	Question Time (30 minutes or until 7.45pm, whichever is the longer)	7.15pm – 7.45pm	-
2.1	Questions to the Leader and Cabinet	To be circulated separately	-
Part 3	Business for Debate (90 minutes)	7.45pm – 9.30pm	-
	Administration Business Item	7.45pm – 8.15pm	
3.1	Barnet Health Services- Councillor Helena Hart		27
	Opposition Business Item	8.15pm – 8.45pm	
3.2	Barnet's parks are not for sale! – Councillor Kath McGuirk		28
	Break	8.45pm – 9.00pm	
	Non-Executive Business Item	9.00pm – 9.30pm	
3.3	Barnet Football Club – Councillor Alison Moore		29
3.4	Traveller Sites – Councillor Maureen Braun		30
3.5	Constituency Boundaries – Councillor Graham Old		31

Part 4	Statutory Council Business (30 minutes)	9.30pm – 10.00pm	-
4.1	Report from Cabinet		
4.1.1	Anticipated report from Cabinet Resources Committee (16 January 2012)	To follow	
4.2	Reports from Overview and Scrutiny Committees		
4.3	Report from Other Committees		-
4.3.1	Anticipated report from Special Committee (Constitution Review) (18 January 2012)	To follow	
	Reports of Officers		-
4.4	Report of the Head of Governance		32 - 34
4.4.1	Report exempt from call-in: Adults in-house Service Review: TheBarnetGroup Ltd Business Plan		
4.4.2	Report exempt from call-in: Future of Housing Services in Barnet		
4.4.3	Proposed new meeting dates		
4.5	Report of the Monitoring Officer		
4.6	Questions to representatives on Outside Bodies		
	(10 minutes)		

Aysen Giritli, Head of Governance Building 4, North London Business Park, Oakleigh Road South, N11 1NP

FACILITIES FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Hendon Town Hall has facilities for wheelchair users, including lifts and toilets. The Council Chamber has an induction loop so that those who have hearing difficulties can hear the debate. If you wish to let us know in advance that you will be attending the meeting, please telephone Maria Lugangira on 020 8359 2761 (direct line).

People with hearing difficulties who have a text phone, may telephone our Minicom number on 020 8203 8942.

FIRE/EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest available exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by uniformed custodians. It is vital you follow their instructions.

- •You should proceed calmly; do not run and do not use the lift.
- •Do not stop to collect personal belongings.
- •Once you are outside, please do not wait immediately next to the building, but move some distance away and await further instructions.
- •Do not re-enter the building until told to do so.

Minutes

OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF BARNET held at The Town Hall, Hendon, NW4, on Tuesday, 1 November 2011.

PRESENT:

*The Worshipful the Mayor (Councillor Lisa Rutter)

*The Deputy Mayor (Councillor Barry Evangeli)

Councillors:

*Maureen Braun *Anne Hutton *Kate Salinger BEd (Hons) *Alex Brodkin *Geoffrev Johnson Gill Sargeant *Joan Scannell *Anita Campbell *Julie Johnson *Pauline Coakley Webb *Sury Khatri BSc (Hons), MSc *Brian Schama *David Longstaff *Dean Cohen BSc (Hons) *Alan Schneiderman Jack Cohen *John Marshall MA *Daniel Seal *Melvin Cohen *Kath McGuirk *Mark Shooter *Brian Coleman AM FRSA *Andrew McNeil *Agnes Slocombe SRN, RM *Geof Cooke *Alison Moore *Ansuya Sodha MBA (Middx) *Alison Cornelius Cert Ed, DipM (CIM), AMBA *Graham Old *Stephen Sowerby *Richard Cornelius *Charlie O-Macauley *Lord Palmer OBE, BA, FCA *Andrew Strongolou Tom Davey *Susette Palmer MA *Andreas Tambourides *Claire Farrier *Anthony Finn BSc (Econ), *Bridget Perry Joanna Tambourides *Wendy Prentice *Daniel Thomas BA (Hons) FCA *Sachin Rajput BA (Hons) PgD *Reuben Thompstone *Brian Gordon LLB *Jim Tierney *Eva Greenspan Law *Rowan Turner *Andrew Harper Robert Rams *Barry Rawlings *Darrel Yawitch *Helena Hart *John Hart BA MA Colin Rogers *Zakia Zubairi *Hugh Rayner *Lynne Hillan *Ross Houston *Brian Salinger

*denotes Member present

1. PRAYERS (Agenda Item 1.1):

The Mayor's Chaplain offered a prayer.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda Item 1.2):

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Jack Cohen, Robert Rams, Gill Sargeant, Joanna Tambourides, Tom Davey and Colin Rogers.

3. MINUTES (Agenda Item 1.3):

RESOLVED – That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 13 September 2011 be approved.

4. DECLARATIONS OF PERSONAL AND PREJUDICIAL INTERESTS (Agenda Item 1.4)

Subject:	Interest Declared:
Non-Executive Member Business Item – Boris Johnson's Fare Increases (Agenda	Personal but non-prejudicial interest as Councillor Salinger is a Freedom Pass holder.
	Non-Executive Member Business Item – Boris Johnson's Fare

5. OFFICIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS (Agenda Item 1.5):

There were none.

6. BUSINESS REMAINING FROM LAST MEETING (Item 1.6)

None.

7. QUESTION TIME FOR MEMBERS (Agenda Item 2.1)

Questions were put to the Leader and Members of the Cabinet. These questions, together with the answers provided and the text of any supplementary questions and answers, are set out in Appendix 1 to these minutes.

8. Councillor Joan Scannell, duly seconded, moved under Council Procedure Rule 10.2.2, that the order of business relating to Agenda Item 3 be varied so that Non-Executive Business Item 3.6 be heard first. Upon being put to the vote, the Motion was declared carried.

RESOLVED – That the order of business be varied to allow Non-Executive Business Item 3.6 to be debated and voted upon in advance of votes being taken on the other Non-Executive Business Items on the Agenda.

9. ADMINISTRATION BUSINESS ITEM – APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT FOR BURGLARS (Agenda Item 3.1)

Councillor David Longstaff moved the Administration Business Item in his name. Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb moved the amendment in her name. Debate ensued. Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Councillor Coakley Webb was declared lost. Upon being put to the vote the Administration Business Item in the name of Councillor Longstaff, was declared carried.

RESOLVED – Barnet has an efficient police force that needs to be provided with the support needed to do its job. Once a criminal is detected, captured and convicted it is galling for all concerned if the sentence appears so light as to be derisory.

Barnet is moving up the league table of burglaries. Residents have an increasing perception they may become a victim of this distressing crime. Some of our wards have a disproportionate number of burglaries.

Council believes that effective deterrence of crime and actual crime levels is best served by robust and appropriate gaol sentences. Professional burglars who are actually locked up cannot break into people's homes.

Our Borough, served by fast trunk roads, offers tempting targets and getaway routes. Our increasingly elderly population have a right to expect that a convicted burglar who has committed multiple offences and been caught repeatedly, will be locked up for a long time. A repeat offender may get as little as eighteen months sentence under the current tariff.

Council calls on the Cabinet Member to work with the courts and probation service so that they understand the concerns of residents and their representatives on this council when they are determining sentences.

10. OPPOSITION BUSINESS ITEM – BARNET'S LOCAL ECONOMY (Agenda Item 3.2)

Councillor Alison Moore moved the Opposition Business Item in her name. Councillors Alan Schneiderman and Kath McGuirk moved their amendments. Debate ensued. Councillor Moore accepted the amendments. Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Councillor Alan Schneiderman was declared lost. Upon being put to the vote the amendment in the name of Councillor Kath McGuirk was declared lost. Upon being put to the vote, the substantive Opposition Business Item as amended by Councillors Alan Schneiderman and Kath McGuirk was declared lost.

11. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING.

In accordance with the Agenda, the Mayor adjourned the meeting at 8.40pm. The meeting reconvened at 8.50pm.

12. NON-EXECUTIVE MEMBER BUSINESS ITEM – BORIS JOHNSON'S FARE INCREASES (Agenda Item 3.6)

Councillor Kath McGuirk moved the Non-Executive Business Item in her name. Councillor Brian Coleman moved his amendment. Debate ensued. Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Councillor Brian Coleman was declared carried. Upon being put to the vote, the substantive Non-Executive Business Item, as amended by Councillor Brian Coleman, was declared carried.

RESOLVED – Council notes that Conservative Mayor of London, Boris Johnson has chosen to increase bus, tube and train fares above inflation for the fourth year running, in line with the Policy of the previous Mayor of London who increased fares by RPI plus 2 %.

Council also notes that Boris Johnson's fare increases have meant the cost of a single bus fare using Oyster has risen by 56% - from 90p in 2008 to £1.40 in 2012. A zone 1-6 travel card has increased in cost by 22% - costing residents of Barnet £509 a year more to get to work.

Council further notes that if elected Mayor of London, Ken Livingstone has promised to cut tube fares by 5% in October 2012, and that he has also committed to freezing fares in 2013 and not increasing fares by more than inflation after that.

Council notes that under Ken Livingstone's fares plans, this would leave a TfL budget shortfall of over £850 million and mean the probable cancellation of the Northern Line upgrade, the Piccadilly Line upgrade, improved services on London Overground and the extension to the Croydon tramlink.

Council notes that a reduction in fares will mean the £90 million spent on the Transport Policing arrangements would almost certainly have to be cut.

Council urges all London Politicians not to play Political games with fares and put ordinary Londoners, struggling with the cost of living first.

Council asks Cabinet to write to Boris Johnson and ask him to ensure that Transport improvements particularly the Northern Line upgrade are prioritised as part of his budget process.

13. NON-EXECUTIVE BUSINESS ITEM – DRUG STRATEGY (Agenda Item 3.3)

Councillor Rowan Turner moved the Non-Executive Business Item in his name. In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 31.5, the item was voted on without discussion. Upon being put to the vote, the Non-Executive Business Item was declared carried.

RESOLVED – Following two recent and successful police raids on properties in which drugs were being cultivated in the Borough, Council commends the government's drug strategy and approach to policing this dangerous and widespread practice.

Council notes that the government's Drug Strategy 2010 promises to pass more responsibility to Local Authorities for the implementation of anti-drug strategies through the creation of Public Health England and the arrival in 2012 of Directors of Public Health, who will work at local level on health improvement initiatives. Council further notes that these changes constitute a significant step to achieving the stated goal of "bearing down relentlessly on those involved in the drugs trade".

Council welcomes the proposed changes and acknowledges that drug use represents a major challenge to local communities across the country, warranting appropriate policy and policing.

Council fervently supports the government's commitment to opposing illegal drug use and building local frameworks to combat drug culture. Council opposes moves to decriminalise an activity that destroys families and claims thousands of lives each year in the UK, and stands by the government's policy of reducing drug usage through intelligence-led policing and determination.

Council supports the Cabinet Member for Safety and Resident Engagement in the implementation of changes to the drug strategy in Barnet, and encourages individual members to support Safer Neighbourhood Teams in working within communities to combat drug supply and use in Barnet.

14. NON-EXECUTIVE BUSINESS ITEM – CYPRUS (Agenda Item 3.4)

Councillor Andrew Strongolou moved the Non-Executive Business Item in his name. In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 31.5, the item was voted on without discussion. Upon being put to the vote, the Non-Executive Business Item was declared carried.

RESOLVED – Council calls upon the British Government to engage with the Cypriot and Turkish Governments to make use of its historic ties with Cyprus to promote a solution to the Cyprus problem.

This is an issue that has now persisted for forty years, and despite economic problems and a busy foreign policy schedule, the very large Greek and Turkish Cypriot population in North London would like to be able to pursue a normal existence, and Council feels that the British Government has a vital role to play in present and future negotiations.

15. NON-EXECUTIVE BUSINESS ITEM – ROAD SAFETY IN BARNET (Agenda Item 3.5)

Councillor Geof Cook moved the non-Executive Business Item in his name. Councillors Brian Coleman and Barry Rawlings moved their amendments. In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 31.5, the item was voted on without discussion. Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Councillor Brian Coleman was declared carried. Upon being put to the vote the amendment in the name of Councillor Barry Rawlings was declared lost. Upon being put to the vote, the substantive Non-Executive Business Item, as amended by Councillor Brian Coleman, was declared carried.

RESOLVED – Council notes that Barnet has the second highest number of casualties from road traffic accidents in London and one of the largest road networks, with 1,520 casualties last year – an increase of 8 per cent compared with 2009. Council notes that many of these casualties occurred on TfL controlled roads.

Council calls on Cabinet to continue to bring fresh innovative thinking on the subject of road safety, and to ask the Cabinet Member to bring a report on our road network to an early meeting of Cabinet which will include measures to reduce the number of casualties from road traffic accidents.

17. REPORT OF CABINET – SAFER COMMUNITIES STRATEGY 2011-2014 (Agenda Item 4.1)

Councillor David Longstaff moved adoption and reception of the report.

RESOLVED –That the Safer Communities Strategy 2011-2014 be approved.

18. REPORT EXEMPT FROM CALL IN – NORTH LONDON SUB-REGION: 2011-12 RE: NEW PROGRAMME (Report of the Head of Governance – Items 4.4.1)

RESOLVED – Council noted the report.

19. PROPOSED NEW MEETING DATE (Report and Supplemental Report of the Head of Governance – Item 4.4.2 & 4.4.4)

RESOLVED – Council approved the proposed new meeting dates contained in the tables at Items 4.4.2 and 4.4.4 of the report.

20. CHANGES TO COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP - (Report of the Head of Governance – Items 4.4.3)

RESOLVED – The following changes were approved Health and Safety JNCC

• Councillor Colin Rogers replaces Councillor Andrew McNeil as a Member of the Committee.

Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee

• Councillor Agnes Slocombe replaces Councillor Andrew McNeil as a Member of the Committee.

The meeting finished at 9.38pm

Questions to the Leader and Cabinet 1 November 2011 Questions and Responses

Question 1 Councillor Brian Schama

Could the Cabinet Member please inform me if Headteachers have given any active consideration of traffic monitors, at school entrances, to speed the dropping off, and picking up, of primary school pupils from parental vehicles and thereby reducing the need for street parking and making the roads outside our schools safer for children during busy periods?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

All schools in Barnet are actively encouraged to develop School Travel Plans that reduce car travel to schools and increase walking and cycling. This helps to tackle the effect of school run traffic. Virtually all schools in Barnet now have a School Travel Plan, and these have delivered reductions in car travel to school of some 12% on average.

Many Headteachers are very coperative in dealing with issues around the School run and some frankly appear not to care less or are ignored by many parents. Enforcement around schools of the various safety measures is continuing throughout the autumn term.

Supplementary Question 1

Councillor Brian Schama

May I ask my colleague please to encourage all the Headteachers to view the monitoring safe delivery of pick up of their pupils to be part of their schools on going duty of care to their pupils? Can I also ask him if he can to get the teachers to provide prefect monitoring accordingly. Some roads in our Borough particularly in our Mill Hill Ward are regularly congested and are a danger to both drivers and pupils.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Yes, Madame Mayor. I have to say it is not just a job for Headteachers but very much a role for members of School Governing Bodies. I am sure a number of Governors in this Chamber will want to take a role in the monitoring process that Councillor Schama suggests.

Question 2 Councillor Lord Palmer

As Government Ministers have said that they would make it easier for Councils who want to introduce 20mph limits, such as being able to paint roundels on road surface rather than erect signs, is Barnet going to action this new policy?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Whilst welcoming the flexibility which the coalition Government is giving in these matters at the moment we have no intention of introducing further 20mph zones.

Supplementary Question 2

Councillor Lord Palmer

Can I say to Councillor Coleman that I am disappointed with his response. Would he not agree that the 20mph speed limit enforced in the Howcroft Estate has worked so well that it has had the applause of not only the Neighbourhood Team but also Mr Bassu the Borough Commander who wrote to me to say how much it is working and how much it is reducing the speed there?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

This is a matter that is coming up before the Finchley and Golders Green Area Environment Sub-Committee very soon.

Question 3 Councillor Alison Moore

What was the value of the original street lighting PFI contract, and how much has the contract cost the Council to date?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

£66 million was the original value and £26 million is the cost to date.

Question 4

Councillor Brian Gordon

Would you join me, and I am sure many other residents of Barnet, in welcoming the Transport Secretary's announcement of his desire to raise the 20mph speed limit in certain urban areas and to raise the motorway speed limit to 80mph.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Yes.

Supplementary Question 4

Councillor Brian Gordon

I would like to ask Councillor Coleman if he takes the view that I do, that raising speed limits would very likely cause people to take those speed limits more seriously, which often they do not because at the moment they are too low, especially on motorways where people go at fair speed but exceed what is the national speed limit.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Madam Mayor I support her Majesty Government's policy in this area.

Question 5 Councillor Lord Palmer

What action is Barnet taking following the Governments Traffic Signs Review?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

The proposals support our aspirations to minimise sign clutter and develop appropriate local solutions to traffic management issues and Officers will be taking these matters forward in conjunction with me, the Area Environment Committee Chairmen and Ward Councillors as appropriate.

Supplementary Question 5

Councillor Lord Palmer

Thank you for the answers. The trouble is when I walk around Golders Green and say to the parking attendant have you reported the large amount of refuse piled in sacks in Golders Green Road, he looks at me as if I am mad and willingly then said he would report it, but it is reactive to my comments rather than using their own initiative. Would the Cabinet Member find it helpful if Ward Councillors were to provide a list of unnecessary street clutter at the same time telling him about broken TV cable boxes, etc.. because I am not convinced the Officers are doing so.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Madam Mayor I am not sure my inbox is big enough to cope with the influx of emails. I very much encourage all Members to report matters to Officers as appropriate. The list of appropriate Officers is available and has been circulated by the Environment department. On the subject of Enforcement Officers reporting matters, this is something I am looking to getting written into the contract when we consider the possible outsourcing the service next spring.

Question 6

Councillor Pauline Coakley-Webb

On the closure of Church Farm House Museum artefacts and ephemera were removed. Was an inventory made of the items removed and could a list of the inventory please be provided?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

Disposal of accessioned items from the museum's collection has been recorded:

- 1) One item from the museum's collection has been disposed of to rubbish a mummified cat, minus head.
- 2) A Hendon Borough Arms carved image and two district Council signs have been relocated to the Council offices.

No other items from the collection have been removed except where loaned items are being returned to lenders. An inventory of items returned to lenders is not yet available as this action is

not yet complete. Items of furniture disposed of to other sites or to rubbish and supporting items of set dressing, signage and exhibition material disposed of to rubbish have not been inventoried.

Supplementary Question 6

Councillor Pauline Coakley-Webb

I can only understand from the answer that we therefore have no record of what furniture or other items were deemed to be rubbish and disposed of. I would like to know how the decision was made and who decided what was to be disposed of? Why was no record kept in order to validate them being thought to be of neither use or value? My request still stands that I would like a written inventory of the remaining items to be provided and sent to me please.

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council.

I think Councillor Rams has given an excellent answer and a very full one of the exact situation. I do not think there would be many people who would quarrel about the disposal of the mummified cat.

Question 7 Councillor Brian Gordon

Would you please accept the appreciation of numerous residents in my Ward for the arrangements made by our Highways department in conjunction with British Gas to reschedule works in Hale Lane to avoid coinciding with the recent Jewish holiday period when very large numbers of synagogue-attending pedestrians were using the pavements?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Yes, I am grateful to officers who worked on this matter and to Councillor Gordon who as ever advocated strongly on behalf of his residents.

Supplementary Question 7

Councillor Brian Gordon

Can the Council continue to put pressure on the Utility companies to keep their road blockages and lane closures to an absolute minimum and to complete their works as quickly as possible, something I am sure is not being done in many parts of my Ward at the present time?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Officers and myself are working closely with the Mayor of London who has strong views on this particular matter and I am also encouraging Barnet Officers to keep a constant control. Only today I received certain correspondence about Brent Street and the utility works that are going there. Councillor Gordon raises an important issue which I and Officers are working hard on.

Question 8 Councillor Lord Palmer

Is any action proposed to curb street drinking in the Golders Green shopping centre and nearby streets?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

Current intelligence does identify a discrete street drinking population within the Golders Green Town Centre and environs. Some reports have been received from the community and indicate that by congregating, the street drinkers cause a level of fear, insecurity and intimidation. Businesses have reported shoplifting associated with the street drinking population.

The local Safer Neighbourhood Team has been using their powers to direct individuals to leave the area for up to 48 hours and disperse the groups as required. When asked to do so the group are compliant and not aggressive. They have also given advice and warnings translated into relevant languages for the population of street drinkers.

A detailed street drinking action plan is being developed with the Safer Neighbourhoods Team and other partners. This will include offering drug and alcohol services to the wider group, two of whom are already engaged with services and advice and support with regard to housing as a number are reported as being homeless. Further activity and options include:

Use of CCTV to gather evidence and direct intervention

- Deployment and engagement with specialist outreach services such as Thames Reach
- Implementation of a voluntary agreement with premises not to sell high strength alcohol in the area
- Licensing enforcement
- Environmental improvements
- Further intelligence gathering and problem profiling ensuring this is co-ordinated with other on going projects and agencies

Supplementary Question 8

Councillor Lord Palmer

Thanks to Councillor Longstaff for his detailed answer which I appreciate. Could I say that in Childs Hill Ward we have had a lot of street drinking in the Cricklewood area which for sometime has had a street drinking ban in that area. I asked at that time for street drinking ban in Golders Green as well. I think your answer is one that would not be understood by people such as I who live in that area because it is not only the street drinkers. It is the cans and the debris they leave behind not only in the centre itself but in the roads like Holden Road by the church there. The street there generally has two-three heavy drinkers with cans and bottles. Your comment that the Neighbourhood Team have powers to move them on for 48hrs, I must admit I have not seen evidence of that and I believe without a street drinking ban that would not happen. I would add that the street drinking ban does not mean cafes on the forecourt. Would Councillor Longstaff review the problems in Golders Green and the heavy drinking and check that the Police are moving people on?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

I will be meeting Chief Inspector Simon Causer next week to discuss the drinking situation in Barnet as a whole.

Question 9

Councillor Ansuya Sodha

What review has taken place on the equality impact of savings/reductions made to the voluntary sector as promised?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

The Equalities Impact Assessment was updated on 9 February 2011 and will be attached to the budget papers to Council in March 2012. The review of the Equalities Impact Assessment will be based on data received from the providers for the first six months of the financial year, April to September 2011. Currently, we have headline performance data, but the underlying equalities data is not expected until November. I am happy to provide the review of the equalities impact as soon as it is available.

Question 10 Councillor Brian Gordon

What is your view on the so-called alternative residents' forum staged recently by certain political activists under the rather nebulous title of "Barnet Alliance"?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

On the one hand it is good to see that residents are interested in discussing the services that Barnet Council provide and I appreciate the frustrations that have brought the Barnet Alliance together. On the other hand the aims of the Barnet Alliance, as espoused on their website - no reduction or change in delivery of services by the Local Authority, the NHS and the Education Department – will make us bankrupt. The Barnet Alliance's few members want political influence on a vast range of policies, but without having any responsibility or accountability to the electorate.

Supplementary Question 10

Councillor Brian Gordon

Would you not agree that the most effective public forum which exists still is our Residents Forum? Would you join with me in urging that small band of irritating agitators to find something more constructive to do in their spare time?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

I do think our Residents Forums are the best Forum. I welcome anybody to attend and I am happy to engage in conversation with anybody there.

Question 11 Councillor Lord Palmer

Can the Cabinet Member provide information as to when Barnet will have a Borough-wide alcohol street drinking ban?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

There are currently four Alcohol Control Areas within LB Barnet:

- Cricklewood Town Centre
- North Finchley Town Centre
- Finchley Town Centre
- Hendon Town Centre

Alcohol Control Areas can provide a useful tool to support intervention and enforcement but the establishment of such areas has to be evidence based and proportional to the problem.

At present there is no evidence to suggest that street drinking is a problem across the whole of Barnet and to support the implementation of a Borough wide order there would have to be a good case to evidence that it would be required due to displacement. Displacement from the existing areas within Barnet has been minimal and mostly no displacement has been noted.

Tackling street drinking is a complex and multi-faceted issue and the response needs to include a focus on prevention, intervention, enforcement and rehabilitation/support. Where Alcohol Control Areas have been established in Barnet, street drinking is still evident, which indicates that implementing such powers may add an intervention/enforcement tool to the box but it is not the solution to the problem in itself.

The Safer Communities Partnership will continue to monitor and evaluate the issue of street drinking across the borough. This will include the design of specific action plans for individual areas appropriate to their problem profiles, adopting a range of existing prevention, intervention and support powers and pathways.

If such methods are not adequate to tackle the issue and there is evidence to support the implementation of a new alcohol control area then this can be considered. This will include an assessment of the effectiveness of Barnet's current alcohol control areas to ensure the wider implementation of such powers is proportional, necessary and appropriate.

Supplementary Question 11

Councillor Lord Palmer

Following on from your last answer where you said you are due to meet Chief Inspector Simon Causer, would you please agree that you will ask Chief Inspector Simon Causer whether he is in favour of a Borough Wide alcohol street drinking ban?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

Yes happy to ask him.

Question 12 Councillor Ross Houston

Following the decisions made at the Barbara Langstone House 'rapid improvement event' held in 2010 it was agreed to immediately re-locate families with children and to 'cease placing families with children forthwith'. Barbara Langstone House continues to house vulnerable adults. Can the Cabinet Member confirm that this policy of having no children is still being implemented? If it is not can the Cabinet Member confirm when and by whom this policy was changed and whether or not there are any children currently staying at Barbara Langstone House?

Answer by Councillor Tom Davey

Following an initial attempt to implement the approach agreed at the rapid improvement event, it was subsequently found that there is ongoing need to use Barbara Langstone House to provide short term accommodation for some households with children. This is partly because of the increased difficulties in procurement of other types of short term temporary accommodation due to market conditions and changes to local housing allowances, but also because it was found that there was a shortfall in the number of single people needing accommodation.

The lease on Barbara Langstone House is due to expire in 2013, and the Council will be considering whether to renew this over the coming months in the context of the ongoing needs of housing applicants.

NB: No formal decision was taken to depart from the policy agreed at the rapid improvement event.

Supplementary Question 12

Councillor Ross Houston

I am astonished by this reply. This was the one issue that all those at the Rapid Improvement event were most concerned about. The safeguarding issues are very serious. Is this not a sign of the problems we are already experiencing with the Local Housing Allowance cuts? Can the Leader confirm how many children at Barbara Langstone House are actually staying there at the moment? How will the decision on the Barbara Langstone House lease be made?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

The one part of that question that I can answer is that I will be attending Barbara Langstone House next week for a meeting and review. I will find out exactly who is there and I will report back to you Councillor Houston.

Question 13 Councillor Brian Gordon

What was your reaction to the rather childish decision of the Labour Group to walk out in protest from the second part of the previous Council Meeting?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

It was regrettable that the full Council was unable to hear the views of The Labour Group and for them not to hear what turned out to be an interesting discussion on the disorder that occurred this summer.

Supplementary Question 13

Councillor Brian Gordon

Leader, may I respectfully take issue with you as to the regretability of Labour not being there for the second part of the previous meeting. The result of that was we finished nearly an hour before the time we otherwise would have done, with exactly the same result. If they want to make the same kind of move this evening, I for one, would be quite happy to respectfully see them go.

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

I am sure there is a question there somewhere, so may I just answer yes and no.

Question 14 Councillor Lord Palmer

Can the Cabinet Member report on whether CPZ Parking Attendants are being used for reporting other matters viewed in their rounds?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Yes. Civil Enforcement Officers, as they have been called since implementation of the Traffic Management Act 2004 patrol the entire Borough and are not confined to the Controlled Parking Zones. During the course of their duties they take note of anything untoward they see, for example a trip hazard or damaged sign, and report it by radio to their base where an email message is sent to the appropriate department of the Council.

Supplementary Question 14

Councillor Lord Palmer

Would the Cabinet Member consider taking a month, any month, and reporting to Councillors how many such reports were made by Enforcement Officers. I believe it would show up the lack of reporting.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

If that information is easy to collate, I will happily let Councillor Lord Palmer and anybody else who wants it have it.

Question 15 Councillor Alan Schneiderman

Barnet Council still cannot answer the phone within 5 rings. Would the Cabinet Member outline how much money has been spent or cut in the process of re-organising customer services in order to continue this poor performance?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

Customer service activity for Children's Services, Planning and the majority of street-based services has been operating as part of the Council's Customer Services function since April 2011, as has the whole of the Assisted Travel Service. Reprocessing of these services to improve the customers' experience and release savings is currently underway. The next phase of consolidation and transformation will include Revenues and Benefits, Adult Social Services, Libraries, Registration and the remaining Environment, Planning and Regeneration Services.

Expenditure on transformation so far is £473,000. The current trajectory estimates that savings generated will at least cover these costs by January 2013 and customer service will have substantially improved by that point.

Supplementary Question 15

Councillor Alan Schneiderman

Over £400,000 has been spent on so-called transformation of Customer Services and it still can not answer the phone within five rings. Does Councillor Cornelius agree with me that a) that is unacceptable and b) the service will not be improved by setting up an overseas call centre?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

I was not aware we were going to set up an overseas call centre - that is news to me. I am grateful for Councillor Schniderman's suggestion. He does raise a serious issue, the phone answering does need to better and I note the point behind his question.

Question 16

Councillor Stephen Sowerby

Would the Cabinet Member provide me with a brief update as to the progress of this year's revenue savings?

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

The required savings this financial year were circa £29million. I am pleased to confirm that this year's revenue budget is on target with a current overspend forecast of approximately £200,000.

Supplementary Question 16

Councillor Stephen Sowerby

Would the Cabinet Member agree that the Opposition, in its eagerness to criticise our successful budget proposals, got it wrong?

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

Councillor Sowerby raises a good and interesting point. Labour criticised our budget last year. Here we are halfway through this financial year, on track to make £29,000,000 worth of savings, still delivering high quality services and delivering good value for money.

Question 17

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

Where are the artefacts and ephemera from Church Farm House Museum being stored? And how are they being stored?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

The operating budget for Church Farmhouse remains in place as the building still belongs to the Council and must be maintained until alternative uses are agreed. The collection is currently stored in the building.

Supplementary Question 17

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

I am rather disappointed by the scant response I got to the previous question. When I started again as a Councillor the Chief Executive said to me you ask a question and only as a last resort do you ask for an FOI. If I don't get the inventory of what was in that museum I'm certainly going to put in an FOI request to get the details. I would like to know, with the building in the current state, is there any regard for the temperature or the humidity, where the collection is still stored - in the closed museum - or is the building unheated and not ventilated?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

The building is maintained in the state that it was in as we left it as a museum. I am disappointed that Councillor Coakley Webb feels the need to put in an FOI request. There were certainly no attempts to hide any information of this nature and Officers will provide a list that you will have access to.

Question 18 Councillor Alison Moore

Would the Cabinet Member confirm whether he has lobbied the Mayor of London against the recently announced hike in fares, and if he hasn't would he explain why he hasn't?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

I have lobbied the Mayor directly and publically on the need to guarantee that the Northern Line upgrade takes place and that the increased Policing on the Underground is maintained both things which would disappear if an irresponsible attitude to fares such as that advocated by Councillor Moore was adopted.

Question 19 Councillor Kath McGuirk

Is the Cabinet Member aware that Barnet now has some of the highest parking permit charges in London, and is he proud of this fact?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

The Member is wrong. On Residents Permits Barnet currently ranks 6th out of 23 Boroughs - we have checked. Street Parking where we are 12th of 22 Boroughs we have checked and Car Parks where we are 14th out of sixteen we have checked.

Question 20

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

What is the cost of storing the artefacts and ephemera from Church Farm House Museum? And can the public access the collection?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

The operating budget for Church Farmhouse remains in place as the building still belongs to the Council and must be maintained until alternative uses are agreed. The collection is currently stored in the building. There is, therefore, no additional specific cost incurred by storing the collection. The building is closed to the public.

Supplementary Question 20

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

I would like to know has a valuation of the collection been undertaken? Is the collection insured? What plans are in place to re-house the collection?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

I can not give you the detailed answer on that question but one thing I can tell you is that as someone who does valuations of articles and objects professionally, I would not have too much reverence for them if I were you. The value of some of these articles are highly questionable but there will be a valuation which will be provided for you.

Question 21 Councillor Anne Hutton

When will the planned upgrade to the acoustics be carried out at the Borough's Provision for Deaf Children at Summerside School?

Answer by Councillor Andrew Harper

A feasibility study has been commissioned to see how the accommodation can be upgraded to improve acoustic performance for the school's Foundation stage pupils.

The proposed works would create a foundation stage unit with its own dedicated entrance and covered play area. They would improve acoustics in the classrooms through the use of sound-reducing walls and doors and sensory aides such as hearing loops. The unit would also benefit from improved lighting and quiet rooms. Subject to the findings of the feasibility study, it is anticipated that works could commence in April 2012.

Supplemntary Question 21

Councillor Anne Hutton

I understand that this school houses the main Borough provision for the deaf and that the acoustics have been inspected and judged to be inadequate. Given that, could we review the timescale and perhaps classify these works as urgent.

Answer by Councillor Andrew Harper

It is my understanding that we are indeed progressing with a feasibility study as fast as we possibly can and as my written answer says, once we have those findings we will progress with the work as swiftly as possible.

Question 22 Councillor Alan Schneiderman

The Cabinet Member has increased parking charges so that they are now some of the highest in London, but targets to increase the income to the special parking account have not been met. Is it possible that the parking charge increases are a deterrent to visitors coming to Barnet to park and shop in our town centres?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

The Member is wrong on all points as my answer to Question 19 explains. For the first time in years the Special Parking Account is on target. On street revenue is up about 40 per cent proving our town centres remain popular. I do wish Labour Members would firstly check their facts and secondly stop denigrating our Borough.

Supplementary Question 22

Councillor Alan Schneiderman

I notice in the answer to the previous question Councillor Coleman admits that he has not checked the charges in all Boroughs only 16 in 1 case and 22 in another case. I think the fact is that Barnet's charges are amongst the highest particularly in outer London. But rather than criticising us, what does he have to say to the local traders who think it is his actions with these charges that are going to hit the vitality of our town centres?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

It is so misguide, you cannot find a parking space in our town centres for neither love nor money. I could not find a space in Mill Hill Broadway the other week, in Temple Fortune, or Golders Green. Sadly the profiteers of doom and gloom, Labour benches and the hysterical local papers are not accurate when they say that people are not parking in our Town Centres because the figures prove otherwise.

Question 23 Councillor Alison Moore

Can the Cabinet Member tell me how much money has been paid to bodies providing welfare benefits and debt advice and support each year from 2006 to 2011?

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

The Council has not provided funding to organisations solely for the purpose of welfare benefits and debt advice, so it is not possible to provide an exact figure in terms of funding to bodies to provide this specific support. However, we provide funding to a number of organisations who perform this function as part of their overall service. For example, we have provided funding to Barnet Citizen's Advice Bureau, Community Barnet and Barnet Law Service over recent years.

Question 24

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

Would the Cabinet Member confirm that he is aware that the number of police in Barnet and London will fall by 2013/14, and will he confirm what lobbying of the Mayor he has personally done to prevent this?

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

I am only aware of planned increases in Police numbers, with the number of Officers <u>rising</u> to 32,380 in the 2011-2012 Financial year and the number of Special Constables nearly doubling during Mayor Johnson's term in office.

No lobbying of the London Mayor has therefore been deemed necessary. If I were to write, it would be to congratulate Boris Johnson on doing a first class job and increasing the number of Police Officers during his first term in office.

Supplementary Question 24

Councillor Pauline Coakley Webb

It seems to me that the Cabinet Member is in denial. I take it that he therefore disagrees with the comments made by the Mayor of London following the riots and I quote "that this wasn't the time to make substantial cuts in police numbers?"

Answer by Councillor David Longstaff

I have not got a clue what Councillor Coakley Webb is on about. She asked me about Officers in London and now she talks about national police cuts. I do not understand the comment at all regarding future cuts. As far as I am aware Boris Johnson is quite right, thanks to the mess that Labour left this country in, cuts have to made in all departments including the police force. Those cuts were made and the first police force to start recruiting was the Metropolitan Police Force.

Question 25 Councillor Zakia Zubairi

The new building at Colindale School is now open, and the Governors have expressed concerns over speeding traffic on Clovelly Avenue, given the absence of a school crossing patrol. Would it be possible for a zebra crossing to be installed at the junction of Clovelly Avenue and Colindeep Lane to help calm the traffic - at the moment it is quite dangerous for the pupils to cross the road there, and residents have also expressed concern?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Clovelly Avenue is a cul-de-sac giving access to the school so the enquiry presumably actually relates to Colindeep Lane. Officers will review the location to consider whether measures are appropriate to assist with crossing the road. However no injury accidents have been recorded at the site over the last five years either before or after the school crossing patrol became vacant more than a year ago.

Question 26 Councillor Alison Moore

Would the Cabinet Member give details of the savings made so far from flexible working arrangements?

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

Through the introduction of flexible working, the Council has implemented a staff to desk ratio of 4:3. This has decreased organisational reliance on office buildings by 25%. To date, this has allowed the Council to vacate and rent out a number of buildings, significant examples include:

Building	Annual Rental Income
Colinhurst House	£62,400
Hendon Town Hall	£422,000*
Ravensfield	approx £70,000 pa
Fenella House	£200,000

^{*} Lease back of part Rent payable by the council £105,500pa net saving £316,500 pa

In addition, there are properties leased by the Council that have now been relinquished. This includes 313 Ballards Lane which has resulted in a saving in rent paid of approx £15,000 pa.

The Council is also currently vacating Barnet House. Once this is complete and negotiations with the landlord have been concluded, it is forecast that this will deliver a saving of £1,100,000 per annum.

Home working and the opportunity for staff working across the borough to 'log on' in any Council building contributes to flexible working.

Question 27

Councillor Ansuya Sodha

Recently, the Chief Executive of Barratts Home said on the radio that they have changed their strategy and now they are only going to build half the number of private affordable homes that they had planned. Can the Member tell me that Barratts still plan to go ahead with building the affordable homes that they said they would in West Hendon or has there been a change of plan and if so can you please tell us what this plan is?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

No. There have been a lot of figures bandied about but certainty will not emerge until later. Barratts and the Council continue to work towards a successful outcome for this project. The property market will be the deciding factor as regards the financial viability of the project.

Question 28 Councillor Geof Cooke

Would the Leader / Cabinet Member say why the Council's presentation / report on One Barnet risks to the Pension Fund has not yet been circulated to Pension Fund Committee Members, and all Councillors, despite having been written in time for the last Pension Fund meeting?

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

The actuary report on the Pension Fund was drafted for the last Pension Committee meeting but was withdrawn as negotiations were still ongoing with the Unions. This report will be presented to the Pension Fund Committee at its next meeting in December.

Supplementary Question 28

Councillor Geof Cooke

Could the Cabinet Member give us some assurance that before any outsourcing decision is taken that the implication for the Pension Fund will be considered by the Pension Committee in advance and that the implications of that particular outsourcing tranch and the cumulative affect of all previous outsourcing tranches are fully taken into account.

Answer by Councillor Daniel Thomas

If Councillor Cooke is a Member of the Pension Committee he can ask that it be added to the agenda?

Question 29

Councillor Kath McGuirk

Would the Cabinet Member confirm what the total value of the Cashless Parking contract now is since being let in 2009, including all extensions, additions and variations (please provide the information broken down by decision)?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

£151,992. This is made up as follows:

Payments under the original Contract (April 2009 to March 20011)

2009/10 £12,552 2010/11 £46,180

Payments under the extension (April 2011 to March 2012)

April to September 2011 £35,910 October to April 2012 £57,350

Question 30 Councillor Geof Cooke

Why are there traffic lights with hoods on them on Whetstone High Road? When and by whom was the decision taken to put hoods on these traffic lights, and what risk assessment was done prior to this decision being taken?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Controlled pedestrian crossings on both Totteridge Lane and Oakleigh Road North were introduced in 2005/06 to address local concerns on the basis that the new facilities could be accommodated with minimum impact on the junction's operation.

Despite numerous timing reviews the last five years have shown that it was not possible to accommodate the large volume of traffic going through the junction and provide controlled pedestrian crossing facilities on these arms.

Therefore Officers were requested to carry out a trial, ensuring that the emergency services and local Ward Members were informed removing the crossing facilities thus reverting it back to its previous method of operation (prior to the 2005/06).

The trial started 1st of March 2011 and was planned to run for a minimum period of six months during which both traffic condition and local feedback would be collected. Having taken on board the public response following the removal of the crossing facility in Totteridge Lane, the green man was re-introduced there on April 1st. The trial has continued since then with only the green man controlling traffic entering Oakleigh Road North being suspended.

The trial has continued and officers are taking the opportunity to carry out a site specific Equality Impact Assessment taking into account the characteristics of the particular location and likely users and consultation with stakeholders' representative of older people, disabled people (sight, mobility, learning disability), children and carers.

Supplementary Question 30

Councillor Geof Cooke

Is it not the case that you do not need a trial to see that there is an inadequate site line for people crossing Oakleigh Road North with traffic tuning left into that road from the High Road? And I understand that the Chipping Barnet Area Environment Sub-Committee has made a request in this matter. So why are those pedestrian lights still hooded?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

No, it is not the case.

Question 31 Councillor Alison Moore

Would the Cabinet Member confirm how many emails and other enquiries he has had from local Barnet residents on the decision to increase parking permit charges and other parking charges this year?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

Records maintained by the Interim Director of Environment Planning and Regeneration show that the Council has received some 160 items of correspondence on the matter of parking fees and charges in the Current Financial Year.

Supplementary Question 31

Councillor Alison Moore

I wonder if you could give me the figures for this calendar year.

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

I will write to Councillor Moore, but I doubt it is significantly higher than the figure listed in the answer.

Question 32

Councillor Barry Rawlings

Would the Leader confirm if he supports the Planning and Environment Committee having a discussion on, and submitting their comments on Haringey Council's Core Strategy, which has an impact on the Pinkham Way Waste Plant, and is now being consulted on?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

I would not support such a discussion.

Supplementary Question 33

Councillor Barry Rawlings

Can I just ask the Leader then, he has made a previous pledge in this Chamber that when the planning application does go to Haringey, it will go the Planning and Environment Committee for comment. Does he still stand by that pledge?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

Yes I would support that.

Question 33 Councillor Kath McGuirk

Would the Cabinet Member confirm how many times the Cashless Parking contract has been either extended, added to or varied since first being let in 2009?

Answer by Councillor Brian Coleman

The Cashless Parking Contract with Verrus UK Ltd has been extended once. Details of this extension are provided in Delegated Powers Report 1289 dated 24 March 2011. It has not been varied.

Question 34 Councillor Anne Hutton

Would the Cabinet Member advise how many local voluntary sector groups that provide youth services have had their Council funding cut or withdrawn completely since 2006 - please would the Cabinet Member provide a breakdown of these including details of the funding that has been cut?

Answer by Councillor Andrew Harper

The Council has supported many local voluntary sector groups that provide youth and other services since 2006, through a number of different funding streams and budgets, some of which were grant-funded by Government only for a specific time period. Examples of these include the Children's Fund, Extended Schools, Positive Activities for Young People, Aim Higher (encouraging aspiration to Higher Education), Aiming High (activities for disabled young people), Youth Opportunity Fund, Youth Capital Fund and many others. There has also been significant structural change within the Youth Support Service, which has integrated previously separate services and budgets for youth offending, advice and guidance, play, sports and arts development and support for school attendance with youth work. The Council has also varied over time how it has made grants, through both corporate and service-based funding streams. In this context, it would require significant investment of officer time to draw together separately held archive records and analyse them to provide the full detail requested.

The Children's Service works closely with the voluntary sector, investing in a post focusing on children and young people within Community Barnet. Officers meet regularly with voluntary sector

groups, both individually and in meetings such as the Barnet Voluntary Partnership and the Choice Board. As part of this ongoing work, officers will review with voluntary sector partners the impact of changing levels of funding for youth services. The 2011/12 Youth Support Service budget for commissioning positive activities is £254,000. There is a comprehensive process in place, of scrutiny of bids and decision-making by young people.

Question 35

Councillor Barry Rawlings

Would the Cabinet Member confirm what lobbying of the Secretary of State for Health she has personally done against the recently announced decision to close Chase Farm A&E?

Answer by Councillor Helena Hart

I can confirm that this Administration has always supported the principle of having two fully functioning, 24 hour Consultant led and backed Accident & Emergency Departments on both the Barnet Hospital and Chase Farm sites. Our opposition to the selected Option in the BEH Clinical Strategy could not have been more robust even to the submission of our own "Barnet Option" a proposal supported by all political parties on this Council at both the Executive and Scrutiny levels.

Following the rejection of this Barnet Option, and the very clear case made by the Clinical Review Panel – again accepted by <u>all</u> political parties on this Council at both Executive and Scrutiny levels – regarding the possible risk to patients of continuing the A & E provision at Chase Farm, my efforts – as always – have been focussed on ensuring that there are sufficient high quality, safe and accessible Accident and Emergency services at Barnet Hospital to cope with all those patients who would have previously been seen at Chase Farm.

Question 36 Councillor Anne Hutton

Would the Cabinet Member confirm which Children's Centres are now closed or have had their hours, services and space reduced, and what the cost of opening them in the first place was?

Answer by Councillor Andrew Harper

The Council now funds full service provision at 13 Children's Centres (the Phase 1 and 2 Children's Centres), compared with 21 Children's Centres in 2010/11. These serve areas with higher levels of need and target their services to the most needy families. They also run outreach sessions in a range of venues, including all the former Children's Centre sites. This maintains the network of early years and intervention services in Children's Centres.

Each former Children's Centre is linked to a full service Children's Centre site.

Children's Centre	Linked outreach venue (former	
	Children's Centre)	
Coppetts CC	North Finchley Library	
Fairway CC	Mill Hill Library	
Newstead CC	Church End Library	
Stonegrove CC	Edgware Library	
Underhill CC	Chipping Barnet Library	
Wingfield CC	Canada Villa	
Bell Lane CC	Kennedy Leigh/London Jewish	
	Family Centre	
St Margarets CC	Sweets Way Community Centre	
Hampden Way CC	Sweets Way Community Centre	

These outreach venues are delivering up to 20 hours per week (up to 48 weeks of the year) of face to face family support and services for the local community.

The former Children's Centres total revenue allocation for the financial year 2010/11 was £835,684 and their capital allocation was £1,051,523. All of the buildings receiving this public investment are still in use for services to the public and none have closed.

Question 37

Councillor Alison Moore

Would the Cabinet Member confirm if she believes it is right to remove A&E services from Chase Farm Hospital, and thereby make residents who use that A&E travel further in an emergency?

Answer by Councillor Helena Hart

The Barnet Enfield and Haringey Clinical Strategy - under which these proposals were originally put forward - has been the subject of a great deal of robust debate, scrutiny and analysis at both local and national levels. Nowhere has this involvement been more robust than in the London Borough of Barnet where, from the outset, the very strongest representations on behalf of the residents of this Borough have been made by both the Executive and Scrutiny arms of this Council as well as by the Members of Parliament. The Proposals were then subject to the Four Tests set out by the Secretary of State in July 2010 - which they were deemed to have met - and then referred to the Independent Review Panel by the Secretary of State in May 2011. The Independent Review Panel has looked extensively at this proposal and stated in a letter dated the 11 July 2011 to the Secretary of State for Health, 'The status quo (which includes A+E services at Chase Farm) has real downside risk in terms of the current safety and sustainability of local services.' The Independent Review Panel therefore concluded that change was essential to ensure high quality safe and sustainable health services for local people and supported proposals for the centralisation of A+E services at Barnet and North Middlesex Hospitals.

Local residents deserve access to the safest highest quality local health services and based on the findings of both the Clinical Review and the Independent Review Panel, reluctantly, I believe that there is no alternative other than to implement the proposals - but only with the strict proviso that the requisite additional staff and facilities have been provided at Barnet Hospital before any services are ceased at Chase Farm Hospital.

Question 38 Councillor Ross Houston

Capacity in the private rented sector is reducing, and homelessness is increasing - will the Cabinet Member admit that the Government's housing policies are a bit of a disaster for Barnet?

Answer by Councillor Tom Davey

Whilst it is true that the ability of the Council to access homes in the private rented sector has been affected by market conditions and changes to local housing allowances; the range of reforms that the Government has introduced which have been broadly welcomed by the Council. The reforms include self financing for council housing, tenancy reform and changes to homelessness all of which are in keeping with the council's wider housing strategy and will help us to deliver our wider objectives.

A number of actions are being taken to address the impact of reduced access to the private rented sector as reported to Budget and Performance Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 22 September. These include:

- Use of homelessness grant to
 - Offer support to landlords and tenants affected by benefit changes
 - Identify potential sources of PRS outside of borough
- Sub- regional working including
 - Joint procurement of short term nightly purchased accommodation with Haringey, Enfield and Camden
 - LHA transitional funding (£525k) to set up mobility resettlement service
- Sub-regional LHA impact assessment commissioned
- Implementation of new Allocations scheme
- Improvements to customer service for landlords
 - e.g. transactions via new web site, dedicated number and e-mail address for landlords

Statistics:

Housing applicants housed in the private rented sector;

- 2009/10 715
- 2010/11 447
- 2011/12 Q1 29.

Homelessness Acceptances; 2009/100 – 231 2010/11- 252 2011/12 - Q1 – 65.

Supplementary Question 38

Councillor Ross Houston

Is this reply not an admission of the disastrous effects of the Governments Local Housing Allowance and benefit reforms on outer London Boroughs? Given the problems of reduced access to the private rented sector, what actions are being taken to identify and prioritise the most vulnerable applicants.

Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

Councillor Houston is fully aware of the way this is being dealt with. Officers are dealing with it in a pragmatic and efficient way. The Governments reforms are essential and we will have to live through the transition stage.

Question 39 Councillor Alan Schneiderman

Given the increase in unemployment in Barnet and the UK that has recently been reported, would the Leader agree with Economics Nobel Laureate, Chris Pissarides that VAT should be cut back to 17.5% in order to help job creation and reduce unemployment? Would the Leader support a cross-party lobby of the Government in favour of this VAT cut?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

Economists often disagree. There are other views of the economy. A reduction in VAT would have other effects, not least on the tax revenues of our Coalition Government. The disgraceful state of finances that faced the incoming government has to be dealt with. The credit rating of Britain is vital to controlling interest costs and we must not be seen to dither as we deal with the borrowing caused by the last government I would not lobby for a reduction in VAT. In my opinion any possible reductions in taxation should be directed towards making the country more business friendly. Unemployment will only come down when business confidence increases and recruitment picks up.

Supplementary Question 39

Councillor Alan Schneiderman

Despite what Councillor Cornelius says more and more economist are taking the same view as Labour that the Government does need a plan B. I know that Councillor Cornelius says that unemployment will only come down when business confidence increases and recruitment pick up. Would he support Labour's plans to give small business who take on more staff tax breaks thorough the National Insurance system?

Answer by Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council

As a small business man I am very aware of this sort of thing. I certainly would not support that.

Question 40 Councillor Alison Moore

Would the Leader and Cabinet Member confirm that no jobs will be lost to the local economy as a result of the One Barnet 'easyCouncil' Outsourcing Programme?

Answer by Councillor Robert Rams

The Council will continue to do everything it can to support the local economy through its partnership work but we live in difficult times caused by the previous Labour government. As the Member is aware we have had to cut our budget by 26%. This does not weaken the Council's focus on providing good quality services to Barnet's residents. It does, however, mean that the council does need to work ever more efficiently. The best support that can be provided to the local economy is to provide those services in as efficient and cost effective way as possible.

If the council did not make these savings, it would have to raise council tax by 46.86 per cent over that three year period. This would equate to a £521 rise in council tax, which would be detrimental to the local economy.

While every sympathy is felt for the people the council has made redundant last year, its relentless drive for efficiency has led to far fewer redundancies being planned than in many other local authorities. Kent County Council in the last year has made 3,107 people redundant.

Where the council will outsource staff, its objective is to secure the best possible contract whilst balancing the needs of the various stakeholders, including our employees. The Council recognises that change can create uncertainty for staff and so it has developed a clause which exceeds TUPE requirements and will ensure that Terms and Conditions are unchanged for the first twelve months of the contract. This is a significant guarantee. The council is not seeking to limit potential contractors' delivery proposals by specifying where services are delivered from. Over and above this, the Council under European law is not able to restrict a bidder to the delivery of services from any particular location.

Supplementary Question 40

Councillor Alison Moore

I note that the leaked Council Directors Group report on procurement on the One Barnet project that has been posted on one of the Barnet Blogs, says that '...specifying the location of outsource services can be included in the outsourced contracts and this may be key issue for Members.' Would the Cabinet Member or indeed the Leader on his behalf say whether or not retaining local Council jobs is a key Barnet issue for him?

Councillor Richard Cornelius, Leader of the Council.

I would not comment on a leaked report.

Question 41

Councillor Barry Rawlings

Would the Cabinet Member advise how many local voluntary sector groups that provide adults' services have had their Council funding cut or withdrawn completely since 2006 - please would the Cabinet Member provide a breakdown of these including details of the funding that has been cut?

Answer by Councillor Sachin Raiput

Our policy document, Looking after yourself – a prevention framework for Barnet, affirms the council's commitment to preventative support, helping people to stay independent and reducing requirements for statutory social services provision. Most of these prevention services are delivered by voluntary organisations, and many have a long and valued track record of dedicated support for Barnet residents. But no area of our expenditure can expect exemption from the budget pressures that now face the council, and the council must subject all programmes to scrutiny in order to decide how budget reductions would affect Barnet residents, and how practicable and sustainable reductions might be in implementation terms and by comparison with other reductions to other programmes. Unlike the great majority of Adult Social Care and Health expenditure, funding for prevention services is mainly concerned with support for people with low or moderate need, support that we know is valued by many of the people who benefit from it, but support that nevertheless is of a different order of priority compared with statutory provision and or for those with the most significant need.

Adult Social Care and Health currently commissions 60 voluntary sector groups, including housing associations, to provide preventative support. Funding for all of these organisations has been reduced during 2010/11 following Cabinet's decisions on 14 February 2011 concerning the report of the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Resources and Performance on the Budget, Council Tax and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2011/12- 2013/14. No voluntary organisations have had their funding withdrawn completely. The table below sets out details of the reductions. Information about funding for previous years is not shown since previous reductions affecting some services will generally have reflected strategic decisions to re-model investment or operational responses to concerns regarding quality and value for money and are not therefore comparable with the budget-led reductions implemented in 2011/12.

Organisation	Service	2010-11 Baseline Contract Values	2011-12 Baseline Contract Values
Advocacy in Barnet	Advocacy	£106,153.62	£92,353.65
Age Concern	Information, Advice, Day Services	£376,849.12	£327,858.73
Alzheimer's Society	Info, advice, day care, carer support	£109,366.26	£95,148.65
ASRA Greater London Housing Assn.	Day care for Asian elders	£89,665.21	£47,457.07
Barnet African Caribbean Assn	Day Care Services	£61,568.38	£53,564.49
Barnet Asian Older People Assn	Day Care Services	£37,026.53	£32,213.08
Barnet Asian Womens Assn	Mental health project and info and support	£53,478.04	£46,525.89
Barnet Bereavement Service	Barnet Bereavement Service	£4,480.43	£3,897.97
Barnet Carers Centre	Carer support	£501,563.73	£436,360.44
Barnet Citizens Advice Bureau	Welfare Benefits Advice Service for adult social care	£48,000.00	£41,760.00
Barnet Elderly Asians Group	Community Group	£8,730.00	£7,595.10
Barnet Mencap	Info, advice, respite care, housing support, Asian family service	£453,095.10	£406,121.73
Barnet People's Choice	Advocacy and Parliament)	£63,050.00	£54,853.50
Barnet Retired & Senior Volunteer Programme	Barnet Retired & Senior Volunteer Programme	£2,134.00	£1,856.58
Barnet Voice	Mental Health Self Advocacy	£80,019.18	£69,616.69
British Red Cross	Home From Hospital	£43,650.00	£37,975.50
Central & Cecil Housing Assn	Housing Support Service	£28,739.36	£27,345.97
Chinese Mental Health Association	Floating Support	£51,886.26	£49,361.79
Chipping Barnet Day Centre	Day Care	£2,910.00	£2,531.70
Christian Action Housing Assn Housing Support Service		£29,368.38	£10,802.31

Organisation	Service	2010-11 Baseline Contract Values	2011-12 Baseline Contract Values
CROFTE	Cultural Recreational Organisation for Tamil Elders	£1,940.00	£1,687.80
DabB	Info, advice and home visiting service	£113,366.81	£98,629.12
Day's And Atkinson's Almshouse Charity	Day's And Atkinson's Almshouse Charity	£1,986.65	£1,574.12
Dimensions	Employment Project and Floating Support	£237,497.49	£225,310.03
Eleanor Palmer Trust	Eleanor Palmer Trust	£42,269.85	£13,231.35
Friend in Need	Day care, home support and carer support	£201,620.63	£175,409.94
Good Neighbour Scheme for Mill Hill & Burnt Oak Habinteg Housing	Good Neighbour Scheme for Mill Hill & Burnt Oak Housing Support Service	£14,768.25 £24,627.00	£12,848.38 £18,679.07
Hanover Housing Association	Housing Support Service	£8,462.97	£4,629.64
High Barnet Good Neighbour Scheme	High Barnet Good Neighbour Scheme	£2,910.00	£2,531.70
Jewish Association Mental Illness	JAMI House Day Centre	£24,948.40	£21,705.11
Jewish Care	Day Care	£70,574.64	£61,399.94
Jewish Community Housing Association	Helpline Service	£6,604.00	£7,035.04
Lewis W. Hammerson Memorial Home	Hammerson House	£11,109.44	£4,731.26
Metropolitan Support Trust	Housing Support and Extra Care	£139,133.54	£112,605.48
Middlesex Association in Barnet	Info, advice and home visiting service	£33,820.11	£31,369.64
Mind in Barnet	Befriending, Day Services, info and advocacy	£211,681.16	£184,162.61
Monarchs Sports Club	The Monday Club	£1,940.00	£1,687.80
New Barnet Community Association	New Barnet Community Association	£19,400.00	£16,878.00
North London Eating Disorders Support Group	North London Eating Disorders Support Group	£1,591.30	£1,384.43
Norwood	Housing Support	£159,842.49	£90,369.06
Notting Hill Housing	Floating Support and Supported Living	£1,408,850.17	£1,387,590.69
Orbit Housing Association	Housing Support	£1,296.33	£1,223.49
Orchard Housing Society	Orchard Housing Society	£17,403.14	£10,153.62
Retail Trust	Sheltered Housing Service	£59,164.25	£34,338.64

Organisation	Service	2010-11 Baseline Contract Values	2011-12 Baseline Contract Values
Richmond Fellowship	Housing Support and Employment	£107,190.63	£96,522.27
Royal Mencap Society Ltd	Supported Living	£39,007.12	£37,021.99
Sanctuary Housing Association	Extra Care and Housing Support	£108,830.37	£120,989.49
Shaftesbury Housing Group	St Giles House	£7,366.25	£5,878.22
St Pancras and Humanist Housing Association	Speedwell Court	£8,448.55	£6,851.52
Self Unlimited	Housing Support	£74,976.50	£42,972.58
The Abbeyfield Society	Housing Support	£22,579.39	£11,023.13
The Royal Scottish Corporation	Bruce Gardens & St Ninians Court	£38,185.60	£24,313.38
The Thomas Watson Cottage Homes	Colgate Court	£3,835.75	£1,150.29
Umbrella	Housing Support Service	£488,523.31	£473,867.61
Viridian Housing	Housing Support Service	£37,120.81	£11,229.57
Warden Housing Association	Homestead Court	£22,481.69	£13,001.10
Westlon Housing Association	Housing Support Service	£79,643.08	£14,061.53
Willow Housing	Housing Support Service	£44,497.72	£3,691.11

Administration Business Item – 3.1: Councillor Helena Hart

Barnet Health Services

Council believes that the residents of Barnet deserve the very best possible Health Services - with easy and proper access to safe, high quality Acute Hospital services supported by excellent Primary and Community services, working in close partnership and co-operation with Adult Social Care.

Despite its longstanding and steadfast opposition to the closure of full Accident & Emergency and In-Patient Maternity Departments at local hospitals, this Council reluctantly accepted that the case for change – as set out in the Barnet, Enfield & Haringey Clinical Strategy and involving the relocation of those Departments from Chase Farm Hospital to Barnet Hospital and the North Middlesex – had been made on the quite specific grounds of Clinical Safety. Council also accepted the urgency for the implementation of these proposals, again on the grounds of Clinical Safety and as set out in the Clinical Review. This acceptance, however, was always dependent on the requisite level of capital and staffing investment being made at Barnet Hospital – before any cessation of service at Chase Farm - and the support to be provided by the new Finchley Memorial Hospital.

Council is therefore most concerned that all of these very finely crafted plans could be thrown into complete disarray by proposals contained in the recent Options Appraisal entitled "Organisational Feasibility Study on Enfield Hospitals" which considers the dissolution of the existing Barnet & Chase Farm NHS Hospital Trust and the de-merger and transfer of Chase Farm Hospital from Barnet Hospital to the North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust. With the substantial additional efforts required from both Managers and Clinicians from all of the Hospitals involved to ensure a safe, speedy, patient-centred and clinically effective outcome from the Clinical Strategy, neither of these disciplines has either the capacity or capability to undertake the additional work required to implement the demerger. To attempt to do so would at best be a distraction and at worst could completely decimate the process.

Council is also most concerned that any de-merger at this time could represent a real danger to the long term viability and sustainability of Barnet Hospital as a provider of Acute Hospital services and a mainstay of the entire health infrastructure of the London Borough of Barnet.

Council therefore approves and welcomes the steps taken to date by Cabinet, Scrutiny and the Borough's Members of Parliament to apprise NHS London, NCL NHS and the Secretary of State of its very grave concerns as to any organisational change to the shape of the Barnet & Chase Farm NHS Hospital Trust at this time. Council encourages everyone concerned to re-double their efforts in this regard and to ensure a speedy, safe and effective outcome from the Clinical Strategy for the residents of Barnet.

Opposition Business Item – 3.2: Councillor Kath McGuirk

Barnet's parks are not for sale!

Council notes the Administration's proposal to promote private use of Barnet's parks for a fee on the rather cynical basis that "this should improve usage of parks".

Council does not agree with any private annexation of public community space in parks in order for the Council to make money, and asks Cabinet to remove these proposals from their budget.

Non-Executive Business Item - 3.3: Councillor Alison Moore

Barnet Football Club

Council is deeply saddened by the announcement that Barnet Football Club may be leaving Barnet and recognises all the work that the Club does for the benefit of the local community and young people across the Borough.

Council asks that the Leader and Cabinet do everything they can to secure the Club's future in Barnet for the good of the local community, and all the supporters.

Under Standing Order Part 4, Section 1, 31.5: if my item is not dealt with by the end of the meeting I ask that it be voted on at the meeting.

Non-Executive Business Item – 3.4: Councillor Maureen Braun

Traveller Sites

Council requests that following the points made by the Inspector at the examination in public of our LDF with regard to Gypsies, travellers and travelling show people, suitable wording is developed to ensure that inappropriate sites are not developed.

Council notes that the London Plan is far from prescriptive and that the Secretary of State has indicated a similar national approach will be developed. Barnet needs to gather appropriate evidence to ensure that suitable policies can be sustained and the Assistant Director of Planning be directed to gather said evidence.

Under Standing Order Part 4, Section 1, 31.5: if my item is not dealt with by the end of the meeting I ask that it be voted on at the meeting.

Non-Executive Business Item - 3.5: Councillor Graham Old

Constituency Boundaries

Council wishes to support the revisions to Constituency boundaries as recently proposed by the Boundary Commission for England. Council notes the minimal changes for our Borough which reflect the aims expressed at the outset of the process.

Council requests the Chief Executive to write to the Boundary Commission expressing support for the proposals.

Under Standing Order Part 4, Section 1, 31.5: if my item is not dealt with by the end of the meeting I ask that it be voted on at the meeting.

Council Meeting 24 January 2012

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF GOVERNANCE AGENDA ITEM 4.4

4.4.1. REPORT EXEMPT FROM CALL-IN: ADULTS IN-HOUSE SERVICE REVIEW: THEBARNETGROUP LTD BUSINESS PLAN

These matters are reported to the Council to meet Constitutional requirements (Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rule 16.8). No action is required by the Council and the decision has been implemented.

In the case listed below, the Chairman of the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decisions proposed were reasonable in all the circumstances, was urgent and therefore had consented to the proposed decisions being exempted from call-in:

The Cabinet Resources Committee on 16 January 2012 is being asked to make the following decisions:

- 1.1 To agree the analysis and conclusions presented in the current Adults In House Business Plan:
- 1.2 To agree the setting up of TheBarnetGroup LTD (the holding company), Barnet First Limited (temporary shell company to enable incorporation of TheBarnetGroup LTD) and Your Choice (Barnet) Limited (the subsidiary established to deliver Adult Social Care services) from 1 February 2012 (adopting the Articles of Association as set out in Appendices B, C and D to the report);
- 1.3 To agree to the Council entering into a contract with TheBarnetGroup LTD relating to the provision of Adult Social Care services for a three (3) year period with the option to extend for a further two (2) years;
- 1.4 To agree to the transfer of Council assets, as listed in Appendix I to the report, to Your Choice (Barnet) Limited;
- 1.5 To agree as sole member of the Barnet Homes Limited to the transfer from the Council to the TheBarnetGroup LTD;
- 1.6 To approve the Council entering into a deed of novation and variation with TheBarnetGroup LTD and Barnet Homes relating to Housing Management services;
- 1.7 To approve the appointment of board members to TheBarnetGroup LTD in accordance with the governance arrangements set out in Section 5 of the report;
- 1.8 To authorise the Assistant Director of Legal services to complete any necessary documentation to give effect to the above decisions; and

1.9 To agree to a waiver of the Council's Contract Procedure Rules for the procurement of Adult Social Care services from TheBarnetGroup LTD without competition for the reasons set out in paragraphs 7.5 to 7.7 of this report.

The decisions to approve the Business Plan for the Adults In-House Service Review were considered to be urgent as the delay involved in awaiting any potential call-in to the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee (whose next meeting is not due to take place until 5 March 2012), would mean that the project would fail to achieve its golive date of 1 February 2012. The decisions were, therefore, regarded as urgent and an exemption from the call-in process was obtained from the Chairman of the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

RECOMMEND – That Council note the above report exempted from call-in.

4.4.2. REPORT EXEMPT FROM CALL-IN: FUTURE OF HOUSING SERVICES IN BARNET

These matters are reported to the Council to meet Constitutional requirements (Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rule 16.8). No action is required by the Council and the decision has been implemented.

In the case listed below, the Chairman of the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decisions proposed were reasonable in all the circumstances, was urgent and therefore had consented to the proposed decisions being exempted from call-in:

The Cabinet Resources Committee on 16 January 2012 is being asked to make the following decisions:

- 1.1 To approve the Future of the Housing Services Business Case in order that the council can proceed with transferring the Housing Service as set out in recommendation 1.2.
- 1.2 To authorise the Interim Director of Environment, Planning and Regeneration to implement the transfer of the Housing Service to the Barnet Group Ltd, who will subcontract with Barnet Homes in order for the services to be delivered.

The decisions to approve the Business Case for the Future of the Housing Service were considered to be urgent as the delay involved in awaiting any call-in to the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee (whose next meeting is not due to take place until 5 March 2012), would mean that the project would fail to achieve its agreed timescales. The decisions were, therefore, regarded as urgent and an exemption from the call-in process was obtained from the Chairman of the Business Management Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

RECOMMEND – That Council note the above report exempted from call-in.

4.4.3 PROPOSED NEW MEETING DATES

Committee	Date of Meeting	Proposed new date of Meeting	Reason
Special Committee (Constitution Review)	2/11/11 (cancelled)	18/012012	The meeting has been cancelled due to a lack of business.
Planning and Environment Committee	17/01/11 (cancelled)	31/01/2012	The meeting has been cancelled due to a lack of business.

RECOMMEND – That Council approve the proposed new meeting dates contained in table above

Aysen Giritli Head of Governance